

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 461 180

EA 031 502

TITLE Transforming Low-Performing Schools and Districts into High-Performing Learning Communities: A Research Design To Construct Procedural Knowledge for Practitioners.

INSTITUTION Southwest Educational Development Lab., Austin, TX.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Educational Research and Improvement (ED), Washington, DC.

PUB DATE 2001-00-00

NOTE 34p.

CONTRACT ED01CO0009

AVAILABLE FROM SEDL, 211 East 7th Street, Austin, TX 78701-3281. Tel: 800-476-6861, ext. 259 (Toll Free); e-mail: products@sedl.org; Web site: <http://www.sedl.org>.

PUB TYPE Guides - Non-Classroom (055)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Data Analysis; Data Collection; \*Educational Improvement; Educational Philosophy; \*Educational Research; Elementary Secondary Education; Performance Factors; \*Research Design; Research Methodology; Research Reports

IDENTIFIERS \*Learning Communities

## ABSTRACT

This document describes the research design that will guide the Southwest Educational Development Laboratory's efforts in constructing procedural knowledge ("know-how" or working knowledge) needed to transform low-performing school districts and schools into high-performing learning communities. Little is known about procedural knowledge in this context. The research design focuses on three questions: (1) To what extent are low-performing districts and schools increasing their capacities to work systemically and thereby transform themselves into high-performing learning communities? (2) What strategies contribute to increasing the capacities of low-performing districts and schools to work systemically and transform themselves into high-performing learning communities? and (3) What knowledge, skills, and tools are needed by technical-assistance providers to transform low-performing districts and schools, and what training is needed to equip them to do this work? A minimum of 40 low-performing sites will be selected for the study. Methods of data collection and analysis, study limitations, and participation incentives are described. Results will be reviewed and summarized in a report submitted to the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, annually. An appendix contains demographic and contextual information. (Contains 18 references and 1 table.) (RT)

**Transforming Low-Performing Schools and Districts into High-  
Performing Learning Communities:  
A Research Design to Construct Procedural Knowledge for  
Practitioners**

2001

*Southwest Educational Development Laboratory  
211 East Seventh Street  
Austin, Texas 78701  
[www.sedl.org](http://www.sedl.org)*

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

© 2001 Southwest Educational Development Laboratory.

This publication is based on work wholly sponsored by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI), U.S. Department of Education, under contract #ED01CO0009. The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the view of OERI, the Department, or any other agency of the U.S. Government.

**Transforming Low-Performing Schools and Districts  
into High-Performing Learning Communities:  
A Research Design to Construct Procedural Knowledge for Practitioners**

**Introduction**

This research design will guide SEDL's research efforts in Task 1, Problem 2: to construct procedural knowledge to transform low-performing districts and schools into high-performing learning communities. Procedural knowledge is the "know-how" required to work systemically to transform a low-performing district or school into a high-performing learning community. Implicit in the definition of procedural knowledge is the capacity to carry out this transformational process (Brophy, 1987; Cauley, 1986; McCormick, 1997; Ryle, 1949). However, procedural knowledge also requires the expertise to figure out how to apply it in different contexts (Glaser, 1984, 1992; McCormick, 1997). Kennedy (1983) expands this notion of procedural knowledge, suggesting that it is the "working knowledge" that accumulates over time, not static, but evolving as new situations or evidence are encountered.

Relatively little is known regarding the procedural knowledge needed to enable low-performing districts and schools to work systemically and transform into high-performing learning communities. Given this state of affairs, it is critical to first identify which procedures might be most effective in helping low-performing districts and schools transform themselves into high-performing learning communities. Once identified, through detailed documentation of a number of diverse cases, the procedures could then be codified and used as part of a research study that could strengthen their identification as effective means to transformation. SEDL proposes in this design to carry out the initial study in a sample of between 40 to 60 sites (20 districts and 20 to 40 schools) over a five-year period. Once that work is sufficiently advanced to identify a coherent set of procedures for working systemically at the district and school levels, SEDL will consider subsequent research on the procedures based on an intervention approach.

As part of its Task 1 work, SEDL will partner with these sites to help build their capacity to work systemically and, as a result, operate as high-performing learning

communities. SEDL will design strategies to be used with appropriate persons at each site to support the district's or school's transformation. SEDL will rigorously track the implementation of these strategies, documenting them fully, following a comprehensive data collection plan. In addition, data will be collected to assess their effectiveness or gauge their results. Using the large data base that will accrue, and manipulating the data through cross-site analyses, SEDL will determine which strategies, under what circumstances, and for what purposes, *worked*. From such analyses, SEDL will be able to suggest how to build the capacity of districts and schools to work systemically and become high-performing learning communities (Dentler, 1984; Fullan & Miles, 1992; Kliebard, 1993; Louis, Dentler with Rosenblum, 1982; McCormick, 1997).

### **Research Questions**

In order to construct procedural knowledge to support the transformation of low-performing districts and schools into high-performing learning communities, the design focuses on three interrelated research questions:

#### **Research Question 1:**

To what extent are low-performing districts and schools increasing their capacities to work systemically and thereby transform themselves into high performing learning communities?

#### **Research Question 2:**

What strategies contribute to increasing the capacities of low-performing districts and schools to work systemically and transform themselves into high-performing learning communities?

#### **Research Question 3:**

What knowledge, skills, and tools are needed by technical assistance (TA) providers to transform low-performing districts and schools, and what training is needed to equip them to do this work?

### **Research Question 1**

SEDL believes that there is a strong relationship between districts and schools learning to work systemically and their transformation into high-performing learning communities. Working systemically requires attention to all levels of the system — classroom, school, district, and state. It requires attention to all parts or components of the system, including standards, curriculum and instruction, assessment, policy and

governance, professional staff, resources, and family and community. Further, it requires that competencies in working systemically be mastered by the district and school staffs (see Refined Action Plan, pp. 6-7). SEDL hypothesizes that as low-performing districts and schools develop the capacity to work across the levels, components, and competencies (i.e., to work systemically), student achievement (in reading and mathematics) will increase, and the districts and schools will take on the characteristics of high-performing learning communities. The first research question consequently focuses on measuring district and school changes in student achievement and status as a high-performing learning community.

### **Research Question 2**

Almost all low-performing districts and schools require assistance to increase their capacity to work systemically. SEDL will design and implement strategies to assist these districts and schools in learning how to work systemically and become high-performing learning communities. The systematic documentation and assessment of such strategies and their impacts on the transformation of low-performing districts and schools will help build SEDL's understanding of how to increase the capacity of districts and schools to work systemically and become high-performing learning communities, which is the focus of the second research question.

### **Research Question 3**

As noted above, low-performing districts and schools require assistance to increase their capacity to work systemically. Discussions with the Chief State School Officers or their senior staff in SEDL's five-state region revealed that there are not enough technical assistance (TA) providers available to provide the necessary high-quality assistance to low-performing districts and schools. As a result, there is a clear need to learn how to equip TA providers to increase the capacity of districts and schools to work systemically and to become high-performing learning communities. SEDL proposes to design strategies aimed at equipping TA providers to support such work. The third research question, therefore, will focus on the design and assessment of such strategies and their impacts on TA providers.

In brief, then, each of the three research questions addresses different issues regarding the construction of procedural knowledge. Research Question 1, in essence, addresses the *effects* that accrue as a result of the work conducted in Research Questions

2 and 3. SEDL believes that it is important to “begin with the end in mind” (Covey, 1998) — and this end is embedded in Research Question 1: working systemically to raise student achievement and become a high-performing learning community. How SEDL achieves “the end” is reached through the strategies involved in Research Questions 2 and 3. Therefore, answers to Research Questions 2 and 3 will inform SEDL about *how* to attain the goal of Research Question 1. Table 1 summarizes the research design. The subsequent text describes the sample, the data collection and analysis procedures proposed to answer each of the research questions, the annual reporting of research findings, and the limitations of this study.

Table 1 - Data Collection and Analysis

Research Question 1.0 - To what extent are low-performing districts and schools increasing their capacities to work systemically and thereby transform themselves into high-performing learning communities?

Research Question 1.1 - How has the achievement of students in reading and mathematics changed?				
Variable	Data Source	Instrument(s)	Data Collection Timeline	Data Analysis
Student reading and mathematics achievement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SEA</li> <li>District</li> <li>School</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Student reading and mathematics achievement tests</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Collect May of each year, beginning May 2001</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compare within school sub-groups to detect bias;</li> <li>Compare progress from one test period to the next, and trends over time;</li> <li>Compare school progress with district progress; and</li> <li>Compare district and school progress with statewide progress.</li> </ul>
Research Question 1.2 - What progress is each district and school making toward working systemically and becoming a high-performing learning community?				
Variable	Data Source	Instrument(s)	Data Collection Timeline	Data Analysis
Working systemically and becoming HPLC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>District staff</li> <li>School staff</li> <li>SEDL staff</li> <li>TA providers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Innovation Configuration Map (ICM)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Administer at the beginning of project</li> <li>Administer at the end of each school year</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compare changes in descriptor mean values over time and</li> <li>Conduct cluster analysis of ICM data to analyze changes over time.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>District staff</li> <li>School staff</li> <li>SEDL staff</li> <li>TA providers</li> <li>Students</li> <li>School Board members</li> <li>Parents/Community reps</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interviews</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Conduct 3 times in FY 01; 2 times per year thereafter</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Triangulate interview data with ICM data and</li> <li>Conduct individual and cross-site analyses to determine if gains in student achievement are positively correlated with gains in working systemically and becoming a HPLC.</li> </ul>



**Table 1 (cont.)**  
**Data Collection and Analysis**

<b>Research Question 2.0 - What strategies contribute to increasing the capacities of low-performing districts and schools to work systemically and transform themselves into high-performing learning communities?</b>				
<b>Variable</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>Instrument(s)</b>	<b>Data Collection Timeline</b>	<b>Data Analysis</b>
Categories of strategies that contribute to transformation to HPLCs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• District staff</li> <li>• School staff</li> <li>• SEDL staff</li> <li>• TA providers</li> <li>• Students</li> <li>• School Board members</li> <li>• Parents/Community reps</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Logs</li> <li>• Site Contact Record (Sections A &amp; B)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complete after each contact</li> </ul>	<p>Analyze and triangulate multiple records to determine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the mix of framework levels, components, competencies on which the site is working and their relationship to the underlying HPLC principles;</li> <li>• the scope, intensity, and success of the site's systemic work, i.e., the site's capacity to work systemically;</li> <li>• the strategies implemented at the district or schools, sorted by intent and other factors;</li> <li>• the movement of the low-performing site to a HPLC; and</li> <li>• the outcomes of the site's work in terms of increases in reading or mathematics achievement by students.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Site Analysis Form for 2 month analysis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complete every 2 months</li> </ul>	
	See Q 1.1 and 1.2	See Q 1.1 and 1.2	See Q 1.1 and 1.2	

**Table 1 (cont.)**  
**Data Collection and Analysis**

<b>Research Question 3.0 - What knowledge, skills, and tools are needed by technical assistance providers to transform low-performing districts and schools, and what training is needed to equip them to do this work?</b>				
<b>Variable</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>Instrument(s)</b>	<b>Data Collection Timeline</b>	<b>Data Analysis</b>
Knowledge, skills, tools and training needed by TA providers to work systemically	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>District staff</li> <li>School staff</li> <li>SEDL staff</li> <li>TA providers</li> <li>Students</li> <li>School Board members</li> <li>Parents/Community reps</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Site Contact Record (Sections A, B, C)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complete after each contact</li> </ul>	<p>Analyze and triangulate multiple records to determine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the mix of framework levels, components, and competencies and high-performing learning community principles on which TA providers are working with the site;</li> <li>the strategies implemented by the TA providers at the site, sorted by intent and other factors;</li> <li>the knowledge, skills, and tools that TA providers needed to assist the site on this work;</li> <li>the strategies used to develop these capacities in TA providers;</li> <li>the scope, intensity, and success of the TA providers with the site;</li> <li>the TA providers' capacity to help the site;</li> <li>the site's capacity to work systemically;</li> <li>the TA providers' efforts to help transform the low-performing site to a high-performing learning community; and</li> <li>the outcomes of the TA providers' work in the site to increase reading or mathematics achievement by students.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SEDL staff</li> <li>TA providers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>TA Analysis Form</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complete every 3 months</li> </ul>	
See Q 1.1 and 1.2	See Q 1.1 and 1.2	See Q 1.1 and 1.2	See Q 1.1 and 1.2	

## Sample

The selection of a minimum of 40 sites (i.e., 20 districts and one or more schools per district) that are low-performing in reading or mathematics student achievement will be guided by the criteria noted below. These criteria were reviewed by each state's Chief State School Officer and/or senior staff, who subsequently provided advice and counsel in the final selection of sites. The sample of sites will reflect the following criteria:

- Different student achievement profiles will be represented, including schools that are low achieving in reading and mathematics (as defined by the state's particular measure), low in reading only or low in mathematics only; districts that have only low-performing schools versus districts that have only a small percentage of low-performing schools.
- Schools at all levels (elementary, middle, high schools) will be included, and when multiple schools are included from a district, the schools will be part of a feeder system whenever possible.
- At least 25 percent of the students enrolled in a selected school will be low-income (eligible for free or reduced lunch) or classified as limited English proficient.
- At least 25 percent of the sites will be located in rural areas.
- The superintendent, principals, and faculty will agree to participate in this effort, will be committed to all students achieving high standards, and will be prepared to alter their educational system.
- District and school leaders will be willing to actively involve the faculty, staff, parents, and community in building a high-performing learning community.
- District and school resources will be available to support the work.
- A technical assistance provider from the SEA or intermediate service unit will be committed to working on this effort with SEDL which, in turn, will provide resources to support these individuals.

**Research Question 1: To what extent are low-performing districts and schools increasing their capacities to work systemically and thereby transform themselves into high-performing learning communities?**

## Rationale

Districts and schools tend to have unique cultures that develop over time as people work together to solve problems and confront challenges. This is why reforms

based on assumptions of uniformity in the educational system repeatedly fail. On the other hand, successful reform efforts adapt to and capitalize on this contextual variability. They shape and integrate reform efforts in ways that best suit their individual and organizational context. This suggests a systemic approach to reform efforts that is sensitive to contextual differences, and yet one that pushes districts and schools to tackle complex problems across the levels and components that exist in the education system. It is hypothesized that if districts and schools learn to operate in this manner, student performance in reading and mathematics will improve, and districts and schools will become high-performing learning communities.

As noted above, this research question assesses the progress that study districts and schools are making in achieving these two outcomes. It is believed that this assessment can best be accomplished by dividing Research Question 1 into two sub-questions. Sub-Question 1.1 addresses the student performance outcome in reading and mathematics, and Sub-Question 1.2 addresses the outcome related to working systemically and becoming a high-performing learning community.

**Research Sub-Question 1.1: How has the achievement of students in reading and mathematics changed?**

**Data Collection**

Changes in student achievement will be assessed using the data for reading and mathematics that are derived from state-mandated tests. This will require that we collect:

- test data for reading and mathematics at the school level for each school year;
- test data for reading and mathematics at the district level for each school year; and
- test data for reading and mathematics at the state level for each school year.

The first set of data to be used at each of these levels will come from the tests administered in the Spring of 2001. SEDL will collect individual student test data for all grade levels tested. In some cases, individual student data may not be released. In these cases, SEDL will gather test data for individual grade levels. Disaggregated test data for different student groupings (e.g., gender, race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status) also will be gathered whenever available.

## Data Analysis

Several steps will be taken in the analysis of data to determine student progress in reading and mathematics.

**Step 1.** At the school level, analyses will first be conducted to determine if bias exists in the student data set (if individual student test data are available). In each school, there will be two subgroups of students: 1) those who have complete test scores, and 2) those who do not have complete test scores. It is assumed that the group of students with missing test data may represent a potential bias in the sample that warrants further investigation. The two subgroups of students will be compared (using chi-square tests) to determine if there are statistically significant differences between the two subgroups on selected demographic characteristics. If no statistically significant differences are found, then subsequent achievement analyses can be conducted with confidence that the student achievement data set is not biased. If significant differences are found, then appropriate cautions must be taken in analyzing and interpreting student achievement data.

**Step 2.** At each of the three levels (school, district, and state), statistical analyses will be conducted to determine the progress made in reading and mathematics from one test period to the next one. This will provide an annual analysis of student progress.

**Step 3.** In some states, students are tested each year. In these states, each year's student test data will be compared with matched data from the initial testing in the Spring of 2001 and any subsequent year(s). These comparisons will provide a longitudinal assessment of student progress.

**Step 4.** The progress of study schools will be compared with the progress of other schools at the district and state levels annually and longitudinally (when possible). The progress of study schools will also be compared to the progress of "like" schools (in the district and state), and the progress of study districts with like districts (in the state). By "like schools or districts," it is meant that they would be compared only with other schools or districts with similar demographic characteristics and initial achievement records.

In all of the steps described above, the appropriate statistical test will be applied to determine the significance of any student gains within the school, the district, and the

state. These may include chi-square tests, analyses of covariance, and regression analyses, depending on the availability of the necessary data. All analyses will conform to any and all sub-analyses of test scores that are typically used at the school, district, and state levels. For example, if test scores are analyzed by grade level, then grade level analyses will be conducted. Other indicators that are routinely used by the district or school to determine school and/or student progress in reading and mathematics will be tracked and considered as appropriate.

**Research Sub-Question 1.2: What progress is each district and school making toward working systemically and becoming a high-performing learning community?**

**Data Collection**

A clear description of what it means to work systemically is needed to determine the progress that each site is making. This description must then be translated into an instrument that can assess movement toward this goal. In the Refined Action Plan (pp. 6-15), a framework for transforming an educational system into a high-performing learning community is presented. This framework includes the levels, components, and competencies in the educational system that must be addressed to work systemically. SEDL hypothesizes that when a site (a district or school) learns to work systemically, it will become a high-performing learning community. The six principles that describe the operation of a high-performing learning community are indicated.

**Innovation Configuration Map.** SEDL will develop an Innovation Configuration Map (ICM) (Hall & Hord, 2001) that encompasses the framework and the high-performing learning community principles. (This instrument will be created in the second quarter of FY 01.) The ICM will be designed in a format that is easy for individuals to complete and for SEDL researchers to analyze. The SEDL coordinator assigned to each site will be responsible for administering the instrument. At the school level, it will be completed by all personnel in the study school(s). It will also be completed by district personnel and SEDL intensive site staff<sup>1</sup> and external TA

---

<sup>1</sup> Staff from the Charles A. Dana Center, The University of Texas at Austin and American Indian Research and Development are working with SEDL in these intensive sites. In the remaining sections of the design, SEDL intensive site staff refers to staff from SEDL as well as the Dana Center and AIRD. These individuals should not be confused with the SEDL research team who do not have responsibility for providing direct support to these sites.

providers who are involved in work at the study school(s). At the district level, the ICM will be completed by selected personnel at the district level, and SEDL intensive site staff and external TA providers who are working at the district level. The ICM will be completed at the beginning of the study and at the end of each school year thereafter.

**Interviews.** Another data source for assessing progress toward the goal of working systemically will be interviews that are to be conducted three times in the first year (in the early fall, winter, and late spring). Thereafter, there will be two interviews conducted each year, in early winter and late spring. The interviews will be completed by the SEDL research team. Included in the interviews will be the district superintendent, school principals, external TA providers who work along side SEDL intensive site staff, and a sample of teachers, board members, parents, students, and community members. The interview questions will parallel as much as is feasible the information contained in the ICM, thus triangulating and enriching the ICM data. (A specific interview protocol will be developed in the second quarter of FY 01.) After completing the late spring round of interviews, the SEDL research team who conducted the interviews for a particular district or school also will complete an ICM. This will provide an additional source for assessing the progress of a site in learning to work systemically and becoming a high-performing learning community.

## **Data Analysis**

The ICM permits a quantitative comparison of resulting data. For example, for each component or each dimension of the ICM, respondents will be given a range of options for describing where they perceive the site (district or school) to be at that time. Each option will be assigned a value, individual responses will be scored, and a mean value determined for the district or for the school. Over time, changes in the mean values assigned to each dimension will be compared as a way of determining progress toward the goal of working systemically. The data from the ICM will also be subjected to a cluster analysis (George, Hall, & Uchiyama, 2000) that will group districts and schools into high, medium, or low groups based on their ICM values. Both the mean values and the cluster data will be related quantitatively to student achievement (at the district or school levels) to examine the relationship between student achievement and



the progress of the districts or schools in learning to work systemically and becoming high-performing learning communities.

The ICMs completed by the district and school personnel, SEDL intensive site staff, and TA providers will be compared to the ICMs completed by the SEDL researchers to triangulate the findings from the former. Data from the interviews also will be analyzed to triangulate the findings from the ICM. Selected software (under exploration at this time) will be used to permit entry of interview data into the computer database that will make statistical comparisons of the quantifiable interview data with the ICM data possible. In addition to the statistical analyses described above, data from the ICM and the interviews will be carefully scrutinized by SEDL for trends and anomalies within the data set.

### **Year 1 Timeline**

September 2001	Administer ICM instrument (or as early as possible) Access test data from reading and mathematics for Spring 2001
Early Fall	Conduct first round of interviews
Early Winter	Conduct second round of interviews
Late Spring	Conduct third round of interviews
May 2002	Administer ICM instrument Access data from end-of-year reading and mathematics tests (this will be done when it is made available)
June to Aug 2002	Organize, analyze, and interpret data

The spring administration of the ICM and the conduct of the interviews will be repeated in the second through fifth years of the contract according to the same schedule, except that only two rounds of interviews will be conducted in the second through fifth years of the contract, in winter and spring.



## **Research Question 2: What strategies contribute to increasing the capacities of low-performing districts and schools to work systemically and transform themselves into high-performing learning communities?**

### **Rationale**

This research question addresses the documentation of strategies taken to build the capacity of districts and schools to work systemically and transform themselves into high-performing learning communities, and the assessment of these strategies' effectiveness. Of great interest will be the levels, components, and competencies of the framework that will anchor the transformation work and the strategies developed and implemented to assist districts or schools in carrying out their work. An understanding of these strategies and their intents, and the contexts in which they are successful in districts and schools, is essential to comprehending the transformation process.

### **Data Collection/Instruments**

Three primary data collection strategies will be utilized to address this research question: running logs kept by site coordinators; the collection of data related to the site work by site coordinators and other intensive site staff; and interviews conducted by the research team with key representatives of the districts and schools. These interviews will also address issues raised in Research Sub-Question 1.2 and Research Question 3. The instruments (logs, site records, and interview protocols) will be created in the second quarter of FY 01.

**Logs.** Each site will have a SEDL staff member assigned as site coordinator. This individual will maintain a running log of all contacts (e.g., phone, e-mail, site visits, letters) at the site. These logs will document the date of the contact, the type of the contact, the source and target of the contact, and the specific location where it took place. The logs will help to verify that appointments, meetings, and other activities occurred at the sites. The entries are meant to provide a brief recording of the transformation-related work at the particular site. The logs will be maintained electronically in searchable files with a password system that SEDL intensive site and research staff and TA providers can access.

**Site Contact Record.** Field notes will be kept by SEDL intensive site staff and/or external TA providers who are involved in the site work. These notes will be recorded in a Site Contact Record, which includes two sections. In the first section, Section A, the

recording will focus on the transformation work that is undertaken with the site (district or schools). Whenever work is done at a district or school, the SEDL staff member or TA provider will enter the data into an electronic site record that will be available (through password access) to SEDL intensive site and research staff members and TA providers for review and study.

SEDL will code specific aspects or dimensions of the transformation work at each site. These will include:

- date of the specific action;
- site name;
- name and role of individual recording the notes;
- a statement of the strategy and its intent and purpose;
- a brief statement of the action;
- who did the action;
- the target of the action (person or persons);
- where the action took place;
- the length of time required by the action; and
- which of the levels, competencies, components, and principles were addressed.

In Section B of the Site Contact Record, the field notes will be expanded to obtain a rich, in-depth narrative description of what occurred. This narrative description will provide the foundation for constructing the procedural knowledge for practitioners. It will describe the particular strategy and actions taken at the site and their outcomes. It will discuss the intent (or purpose of the strategy), why this strategy was selected (rationale), and how the actions relate to the particular strategy. The narrative will document the framework levels, components, and competencies that were addressed, and their relationship to the underlying high-performing learning community principles. It will also include reflections and insights related to the particular strategy and actions that took place. Issues for additional consideration, next steps, and other relevant information will also be recorded.

**Interviews.** The interviews will be conducted with district superintendents, school principals, external TA providers who work along side SEDL intensive site staff, and a sample of teachers, board members, parents, students, and community members.

The interviews will be conducted twice each year by the SEDL research team, except during the first year, when three rounds of interviews will be conducted.

Questions will be asked to gather information to learn more about district and school personnel's understandings about how to work systemically, the successes they have seen to date, where problems have occurred, concerns they have, and other issues that address Research Question 2. (A specific interview protocol will be developed in the second quarter of FY 01.) Selected software (under exploration at this time) will be used to permit entry of interview data into the computer database.

## **Data Analysis**

**Bimonthly.** Every two months, all of the SEDL staff (both intensive site staff and research staff) involved in each site will review the site coordinator log, Site Contact Records, and available ICM or interview data. With guidance from the SEDL research team, the intensive site staff will complete a Site Analysis Form (one form per site). This form will synthesize all of the site information into one document. The Site Analysis Form will represent the richness of the work at the site and will provide the foundation for the construction of procedural knowledge. The following items will focus this set of analyses:

### **From Site Contact Record, Section A**

- the framework levels, components, and competencies worked on by the site and
- the strategies implemented by the site, sorted by intent or purpose, and other factors.

### **From Site Contact Record, Section B**

- indicators that the site is able to work systemically;
- indicators that the site is becoming a high-performing learning community;
- the progress or setbacks that the site experienced during the past two-month period;
- supportive conditions that have contributed to the site's progress;
- barriers that are interfering with the site's progress; and
- other resources that are used to support the improvement work.

In addition, other information related to the context of the site (collected as part of recruitment, site entry, data scan, and site exploration) will be considered. A description of these is included in Appendix A.

**Semi-Annually.** The Site Analysis Forms (backed up by the site coordinator logs, Site Contact Records, interview data, available student achievement data, and available ICM data) will be synthesized by the intensive site and research staff to understand how each site is learning to work systemically to become a high-performing learning community, and the contributions and effectiveness of different strategies to facilitate such transformation work. These forms will be analyzed to build and/or add to displays to organize the data at each site (Miles & Huberman, 1994; Patton, 1990; Wolcott, 1994). Multiple displays will be developed to organize and format qualitative data (e. g., by event, time, and site dynamics). Miles and Huberman (1994) advise that different formats should be tried to answer specific research questions. Particular attention will be given in transferring the data to displays to identify:

- the mix of framework levels, components, and competencies on which the site is working, and their relationship to the underlying high-performing learning community principles;
- the scope, intensity, and success of the site's systemic work, that is, the site's capacity to work systemically;
- the strategies implemented at the site, sorted by intent and other factors;
- the movement of the low-performing site to a high-performing learning community; and
- the outcomes of the site's work in terms of increases in reading or mathematics achievement by students.

These data displays will be completed first for individual sites and then across district or school sites to help identify patterns of strategies (Miles & Huberman, 1994) that influence how districts and schools transform themselves. The data displays will be reviewed and discussed by all of the SEDL intensive site and research staff and TA providers throughout the year. These discussions will help to verify and triangulate the findings.

## **Year 1 Timeline**

August 2001 - July 2002	Logs and Site Contact Records completed after each contact
Early Fall	Conduct first round of interviews
October 2001	Review logs and Site Contact Records Complete Site Analysis Form
December 2001	Review logs and Site Contact Records Complete Site Analysis Form
Early Winter	Conduct second round of interviews
February 2002	Review logs and Site Contact Records Complete Site Analysis Form Synthesize and analyze Site Analysis Forms
April 2002	Review logs and Site Contact Records Complete Site Analysis Form
Late Spring	Conduct third round of interviews
June 2002	Review logs and Site Contact Records Complete Site Analysis Form
July 2002	Synthesize and analyze Site Analysis Forms

This schedule will be repeated in subsequent years of the contract, except that only the winter and spring rounds of interviews will be conducted in the second through fifth years of the contract.

### **Research Question 3: What knowledge, skills, and tools are needed by technical assistance providers to transform low-performing districts and schools, and what training is needed to equip them to do this work?**

## **Rationale**

The technical assistance (TA) infrastructure in each of the five states in SEDL's region currently lacks the capacity and/or resources to respond to the numbers of low-performing districts and schools requiring assistance. The SEAs in all five states acknowledge that there are not enough TA providers available to provide the necessary high-quality assistance to low-performing districts and schools. As a result, there is a clear need to learn how to equip TA providers to increase the capacity of

districts and schools to work systemically and become high-performing learning communities.

## Data Collection

Two primary data collection strategies will be used to answer Research Question 3. They include an expanded Site Contact Record and interviews.

**Expanded Site Contact Record.** An expanded Site Contact Record (described initially under Research Question 2) will be the primary tool for data collection for this research question, and will be a key method for identifying the knowledge, skills, and tools needed by the TA providers and the training needed to equip them to do this work. Section A will be expanded to record information not only about any actions(s) with the site, but also parallel information about actions(s) with the TA provider at the site.

It is almost certain that a wide variety of knowledge, skills, and tools will be required by TA providers, making it difficult to establish in advance a means for categorizing or organizing this information. During the first year, SEDL will develop a coding system to categorize these to facilitate data collection in future years. A third section, Section C, will be added to record information pertaining to Research Question 3. This section will parallel Section B, as described above in reference to Research Question 2. At a minimum, this section will include the knowledge, skills, and tools that were employed in this action, why these were used, how effective they seemed to be, what else might have been done (or not done), what was the response of the TA provider to the action, and what new insights into the training of TA providers were gained.

When the action to be recorded on the Site Contact Record involves SEDL work with both a site and an external TA provider, all three sections will be completed. When the action to be recorded includes only SEDL work with the external TA provider, then only Sections A and C will be completed. For example, a telephone conversation regarding work at a study school between a site coordinator and TA provider would be recorded in Sections A and C of this form. Section B would be left blank since there are no reflections to be recorded about work at the study school for this particular entry.

**Interviews.** During the research team's interviews with teachers, administrators, and other individuals (described above related to Research Questions 1.2 and 2), questions will be asked about their perspectives on the work of the TA provider. One purpose of these questions is to investigate the kinds of knowledge, skills, and tools that TA providers used in working with district and school personnel to promote the goals of this study. Another purpose is to assess the effectiveness of the efforts of the TA providers as perceived by the recipients of those efforts. In doing this, the intent is not only to identify the knowledge, skills, and tools that were employed, but how useful they were, and what additional knowledge, skills, or tools might have been used to make the work of the TA provider even more effective.

### **Data Analysis**

Every three months, the site coordinator and the TA provider will engage in an in-depth debriefing session to explore and capture in as much detail as possible the knowledge, skills, and tools that the TA provider has needed and used, as well as the impact they have had. Their discussion will begin with the information from Sections A and C of the Site Contact Record. This will permit an analysis of the relationships between the framework levels, components, and competencies; the underlying high-performing learning community principles; the knowledge, skills, and tools needed by the TA provider; and the training or other support offered to the TA providers. In addition, the data available from the student achievement analyses, interviews, and ICMs will provide rich information about the progress of the sites. The discussion will be recorded in a TA Analysis Form, similar to the Site Analysis Form described above; it will provide the foundation for the construction of procedural knowledge related to the work of TA providers. The following items will focus this set of analyses:

#### **From Site Contact Record, Section A**

- the framework levels, components, and competencies worked on by the site with the TA provider.

#### **From Site Contact Record, Section B (as relevant)**

- indicators that the site is able to work systemically;
- indicators that the site is becoming a high-performing learning community;
- the progress or setbacks that the site experienced recently;



- supportive conditions that have contributed to the site's progress; and
- barriers that are interfering with the site's progress.

#### **From Site Contact Record, Section C**

- the knowledge, skills, and tools used by the TA provider to work with the site on the above;
- the training strategies that were used to support the development of the TA provider;
- indicators that the strategy or action performed by the TA provider was effective;
- indicators that the training or support to the TA provider was effective;
- other strategies or actions that might have been performed by the TA provider;
- response of the site to the TA provider; and
- response of the TA provider to the training or support provided.

**Semi-Annually.** The TA Analysis Forms (backed up by site coordinator logs, Site Contact Records, interview data, available student achievement data, and available ICM data) will be synthesized by the intensive site and research staff (and TA providers whenever feasible) to understand how TA providers are developing the knowledge, skills, and tools to support the transformation of low-performing districts and schools. Similar to the analyses conducted for Research Question 2, multiple displays will be developed to organize and format the qualitative data. Cross-site analyses will be conducted to determine if any patterns emerge that relate to the training and work of TA providers in particular sites, including:

- the mix of framework levels, components, and competencies on which the TA providers are working with the site, and their relationship to the underlying high-performing learning community principles;
- the strategies implemented by the TA providers at the site, sorted by intent and other factors;
- the knowledge, skills, and tools that TA providers needed to assist the site on this work;
- the strategies used to develop these capacities in TA providers;
- the scope, intensity, and success of the TA providers with the site;



- the TA providers' capacity to help the site;
- the TA providers' efforts to help transform the low-performing site to a high-performing learning community; and
- the outcome of TA providers' work in the site to increase reading or mathematics achievement by students.

Analyses also will be conducted to determine developmental changes in the TA providers' capacity. For example, in the initial phases of the project, are certain knowledge, skills, and tools employed more than others in the training and work of TA providers and how does this emphasis change over time? Knowing this can be very important to the development of a training procedure in this study and in projects elsewhere.

### **Year 1 Timeline**

August 2001 - July 2002	Record work with TA providers, and training and support delivered to TA providers
Three-month intervals	Conduct in-depth meetings between the site coordinator and the TA provider, approximately three months after the beginning of the work at the site and every three months thereafter
Semi-Annually	Synthesize and analyze TA Analysis Forms

### **Annual Report**

At the end of each school year, all of the accumulated data for each site will be reviewed by the SEDL research team, the site coordinators, and other field staff. This review will allow SEDL to understand how districts and schools are transforming across the sample of sites and the combinations of specific strategies that contribute to the transformation process. The results of this annual review will be summarized in an annual report. This report will be submitted to OERI in the first quarter of the following year, except for the last year when it will be submitted in the last quarter of the contract.

### **Limitations of the Study**

As with all research, there are particular limitations that should be given attention when considering the findings from this study. An obvious first issue is that of self-report. The persons who will serve as primary collectors of data will also be designing the strategies and actions to support the transformation of sites. These individuals will document their own actions as well as those of others at the sites, providing the opportunity for perceptions that may be biased or skewed. To mitigate against this, SEDL researchers will collect a broad array of data from others at the district (e. g., superintendent, district office personnel, community leaders) and school(s) (e. g., principal, teachers, parents) whose input can contribute to triangulation, "seeing or hearing multiple instances from different sources" (Miles & Huberman, 1994, p. 263). This procedure prevents the analysis and acceptance of unverified "initial impressions" (Goetz & LeCompte, 1984, p. 11) and enhances the trustworthiness of the data. Syntheses of the data every six months also will be submitted to peer reviewers who are not a part of the site data collection, but who are knowledgeable and can attest to the reasonableness of the findings given the data and their analyses.

Structural, organizational, or personnel changes at the district and/or school levels may also impact the conduct of this study over the five-year period. Through many years of school improvement work, SEDL's experience has shown that such changes regularly occur and often disrupt the improvement effort and its impact. When such changes occur, they will, of course, be noted in the data collection procedures and reports.

Changes in the state assessment programs may also complicate the conduct of this study. Several of the SEAs in SEDL's region are modifying or contemplating changes in their specific achievement tests administered or in their testing procedures. These changes will complicate comparisons of student results over time. SEDL will note these changes when they occur and seek appropriate steps to minimize their impact in this study.

### **Participation Incentives**

District and school teams will receive a brief summary of the annual synthesis of their site's data. Copies of the summary will be shared and discussed with all staff who

are participating in the project. SEDL has found that many sites value the opportunity to gain feedback and to understand how “things are going.” Other incentives for participating in the study may be included at the discretion of SEDL and its partners.

### **Confidentiality**

SEDL’s research policy includes the provision that schools, districts, and/or states will not be identified in any way that they may be recognized except by their own staff. All field notes and logs, as well as interview information, will be strictly confidential and anonymous. If some purpose should develop for identifying a school, district, state, or any of its personnel, that will not be done unless permission is obtained from the individual, the school, and/or district authority.

## References

- Brophy, J. (1987, April). *Educating teachers about managing classrooms and students*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Washington, DC.
- Cauley, K. M. (1986, April). *Studying knowledge acquisition: Distinctions among procedural, conceptual, and logical knowledge*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA.
- Covey, Steven R. (1989). *The seven habits of highly effective people*. New York: Simon & Schuster, Inc.
- Dentler, R. A. (1984). *Putting knowledge to work: Issues in providing effective educational dissemination*. Phoenix, AZ: Council for Educational Development and Research.
- Fullan, M. G. & Miles, M. B. (1992). Getting reform right: What works and what doesn't. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 73(6), 745-752.
- George, A. A., Hall, G. E. & Uchiyama, K. (2000). Extent of implementation of a standards-based approach to teaching mathematics and student outcomes. *Journal of Classroom Interaction*, 35(1), 8-25.
- Glaser, R. (1984). Education and thinking: The role of knowledge. *American Psychologist*, 39(2), 93-104.
- Glaser, R. (1992). Expert knowledge and processes of thinking. In D. F. Halpern (Ed.), *Enhancing thinking skills in the sciences and mathematics* (pp. 63-75). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Goetz, J. P. & Le Compte, M. D. (1984). *Ethnography and qualitative design in educational research*. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Hall, G. E. & Hord, S. M. (2001). *Implementing change: Patterns, principles and potholes*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Kennedy, M. (1983). Working knowledge. *Knowledge: Creation, Diffusion, Utilization*, 5(2), 193-211.
- Kliebard, H. M. (1993). What is a knowledge base, and who would use it if we had one? *Review of Educational Research*, 63(3), 295-303.
- Louis, K.S., & Dentler, R.A. with Rosenblum, S. (1982). *Putting knowledge to work: An examination of an approach to improvement in education*. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates, Inc.
- McCormick, R. (1997). Conceptual and procedural knowledge. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 7, 141-159.

Miles, M. B. & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook*. Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage Publications.

Patton, M. Q. (1990). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Ryle, G. (1949). *The concept of the mind*. Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England: Penguin Books.

Wolcott, H. F. (1994). *Transforming qualitative data: Description, analysis, and interpretation*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

## APPENDIX A

### **Demographic and Contextual Information**

SEDL will collect data on each potential site during the recruitment process and early work that may be useful in understanding the progress of study districts and schools. These data will help describe and explain the environment in which the district and school transformations are taking place. In addition, such demographic and contextual information will make it possible to select factors for cross-site analyses related to the three research questions.

### **Pre-Recruitment Data**

These data will be used initially for the purpose of matching sites with the selection criteria. Though sites will be somewhat similar in that they will meet the established criteria for selection, individual district and school sites may vary a great deal.

### **Early Site Work Data**

In addition to data collected before entering the sites (noted above), other data will be collected in the early intensive work at the sites.

**Site Entry.** During this phase, the site coordinator will help create district and school leadership teams or encourage the active involvement of existing teams. As part of this work, the site coordinators will have the opportunity to observe many aspects of the district and schools' operations and to maintain records of these.

**Data Scan.** Likewise, in the second phase of SEDL's work in the sites, a data scan and the interpretation of its results will be undertaken. In this case, the site coordinator, other intensive site staff, and external TA providers will conduct a quick scan to access information about staffing patterns, reading and mathematics instructional programs, professional development, management, and budgets. The scan will employ interviews with the superintendent and central office staff, the principals of schools both in and out of the study sample, randomly selected teachers at each grade level, one or more members of the school board, and parents and community members. District and school records will be examined to reveal the status of the district and schools in terms

of their reading and mathematics performance, their readiness to operate as a learning community, and an entry point for discussions at the district- and school-levels. These data will provide information against which future change and improvement can be measured.

**System Exploration.** In the third phase of the early intensive site work, system exploration, there is another opportunity for data collection. In this set of activities, SEDL staff and district and school staff will interact to identify and reflect on the “boosters and barriers” that contribute to or detract from student reading and mathematics performance. In addition, SEDL expects to stimulate and lead discussions about system factors that are available to support the school improvement process, and conversely, to note the absence of those factors in the system.

### **State Context Data**

SEDL has collected and organized extensive information about each state, including standards and state assessments, state accountability systems, and current and planned reform issues. This information will be added to the data sets for inclusion in the analysis and synthesis of each site’s work, and in cross-site analyses.



**U.S. Department of Education**  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## **NOTICE**

### **Reproduction Basis**



This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.



This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").